Appendix H .....Federal Trade Commission Guidelines on Audience Ratings Claims tailers, or others, promotional material by or the purchasing and consuming public conce through which they may deceive or mislead ing any product. [Guide 8]

#### [¶39,026] Guidelines for Audience Rating Claims

#### Issued July 8, 1965; reaffirmed August 27, 1969

1. A person (or firm) making a claim concerning the size, composition or other important characteristics of a listening or viewing audience is responsible for seeing to it that the claim is truthful and not deceptive. If he bases his claim on the results of an audience survey. he assumes responsibility for interpreting the data accurately. Thus, he should not engage in activities calculated to distort or inflate such data-for example, by conducting a special contest or otherwise varying his usual programming, or instituting unusual advertising or other promotional efforts, designed to increase audiences only during the survey peried. Such variation from normal practices is known as "hypoing."

It is also improper to cite or quote from a survey report or survey data in such a way as to create a misleading impression of the results of the survey, as by unfairly basing audience claims on results achieved only during certain periods of the broadcast day or on a survey of only a segment of the total potential audience.

2. Audience data are based on sample surveys not derived from complete measurements of audiences. As such, they are statistical estimates, and, at best are of only limited reliability due to errors and distortions inherent in the statistical methods yielding such data. Claims as to audience coverage based on audience surveys should therefore be qualified in recognition of the fact that survey data : inherently imperfect. Any such claim shot be accompanied by a disclosure that a figures cited or quoted are estimates only are based upon estimates, and are not act rate, to any precise mathematical degree u less based upon a true probability samp Audience surveys are not in practice bas upon true probability samples.

3. Such claims should not be based on da obtained in a survey that the person (or firr making the claim knows or has reason to kno was not designed, conducted, and analyzed accordance with accepted statistical principland procedures, reasonably free from avoid ble bias, and based on a properly selecte sample of adequate size. Such claim should no be based on survey reports or data that do no reliably reflect current audience coverage, @ ther because the passage of time has made th data outdated, or because a later survey repor encompassing essentially the same area ha been published, or because of the entry c departure of a competitor, or for any other reason.

These guidelines are offered to broadcaster and others concerned for consideration is avoiding possible violation of the Federa Trade Commission Act. (FTC Releases dated July 8, 1965 and August 27, 1969.)

The next page is 41.633.1

Appendix I .....Analysis of the Impact of Audience Measurement Tools

### An Analysis of the Impact of Audience Measurement Tools by Kofi A. Ofori

As previously discussed, media buying decisions are greatly influenced by audience demographics and buying habits. In Section II-C-1, it was shown that stations that generate the highest advertising revenues generally have listeners that are economically affluent and that are non-minority. In part, this is due to reliance upon audience measurement reports that are the bread and butter tools of media buyers and station sales representatives. In addition to measuring audience size, these reports survey listener buying habits and cross-tabulate the results according to socio-economic categories. This section of the study examines the reliability of audience measurement reports and the implications of inaccurate data for minority broadcasters.

A 1966 Congressional oversight committee (see, Appendix I) summed up the FCC's responsibilities in this area very accurately when it said:

The purchase and use by broadcasters of rating surveys importantly affect the performance of broadcasters in two respects, first, in relation to listeners and viewers, and second, in their competitive relations to each other. The FCC has vital responsibilities with regard to both these aspects.

Government oversight of audience research measurement services was prompted by reports of rigged quiz shows and payola during the 1960's. As a result of a Congressional investigation into these matters, the broadcast and advertising industries jointly established an institution that would be responsible for, among other things, administering a system of accreditation for broadcast audience measurement services. Presently known as the Media Ratings Council (MRC), its membership consists of representatives from the advertiser, television, radio and cable television industries.

The data collection methods of the services vary significantly. The MRC reviews the methodology for ratings services, and accredits those who meet MRC's reliability standards. This system was established in recognition of the importance of assuring reliable audience measurement tools for the industry and the public they serve.

1. Areas of Concern with Respect to Qualitative Research.

In evaluating ratings methodologies, issues to be examined include: 1) weighting techniques used to ensure the proper representation of segments of the population which rating services have historically undercounted (e.g. minorities); 2) ascription techniques used to complete data that is missing from survey instruments; and 3) techniques use to conform separately prepared reports in order to achieve product consistency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> House Comm. on Interstate and Foreign Commerce. Broadcast Ratings, H.R. No.1212. 89™ Cong., 2d Sess., at 11 (1966).

Robert Jordan, President of International Demographics, Inc. which prepares The Media Audit, said in an interview with CRF<sup>2</sup> that it is the normal practice of audience measurement services to adjust survey data to account for questions on survey instruments which were not completed by survey respondents. Answers from completed survey instruments are used to supply answers for incomplete instruments.<sup>3</sup> The process is called ascription. However, Mr. Jordan believed the reliability of this process may be compromised when *entire* survey instruments—not just a few answers—are selected to be ascribed to survey participants who failed to return an instrument.

The problem is further compounded when data is weighted to correct for "skewness," under-representation of certain groups in the survey responses. The need for this arises when segments of the population are disproportionately under-represented in the sample data. Hispanics, Blacks and young age segments are frequently undercounted and therefore under-represented in audience measurement surveys. An appropriate amount of "extra weight," therefore, is given to sample data from undercounted segments to ensure proportional representation. If, however, large portions of the sample data is ascribed (missing answers or surveys are attributed from other surveys), weighting techniques may magnify representational bias in the sample data. Mr. Jordan commented:

[W]hen we start ascribing entire documents, especially when ascribing entire documents exceeds over 50%, then when you start to weight, the weighting starts to magnify as opposed to eliminate the differences between ethnic groups. 5

For example, if Blacks are under-represented in the sample data and the data on Blacks is supplied by a good random sample, it may be possible to give more weight to the answers supplied by Blacks in order to give them their proper weight in the overall survey results. If, on the other hand, the data on Blacks is not based upon a random sample—i.e., large portions of the data is ascribed—any bias due to ascription may become further magnified by weighting the answers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Media Audit provides consumer information and retail shopping data for over 80 Arbitron markets. It received accreditation from the Media Ratings Council for its methodology and data collection procedures in 1997. The full text of the interview is available in volume III of this study.

An attempt is normally made to match the demographic characteristics of the donee instrument (the survey which a missing a response) to the donor instrument (the survey from which a missing response is copied). However, the match may not be exact. Interview with Bob Jordan at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Id. at 9. See, for example, Donna Petrozzello, Arbitron Undersamples Seven Markets, Broadcasting & Cable, November 13, 1995 (Arbitron takes steps to correct sample errors that cut short the number of diaries mailed to black and Hispanic households in the New York urban metro area).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Interview with Bob Jordan at 6.

The differences between the demographics of various radio stations can become exaggerated, if proper statistical procedures are not followed. This may affect the way advertisers value station audiences and decide where to place their ads.

Consequently, broadcasters serving the minority community may find themselves eliminated from ad campaigns, particularly those targeting audiences of certain ages, incomes or education levels. A buy specification for a luxury car commercial that seeks consumers that are ages 25 to 54, college graduates, with household incomes of \$75,000, may fit the profile of easy listening and classical music stations. Flawed ascription and weighting methodologies, however, may make it appear that minority-formatted stations have fewer listeners who fit those characteristics than is actually the case.<sup>6</sup>

A third area of concern involves conforming data taken from one survey to make it consistent with data from another survey. Some audience research services gather data through listener or viewer diaries, other through telephone interviews. Diaries tend to yield larger audience shares than data obtained by telephone interviews.<sup>7</sup> It is alleged that in order to avoid products with contradictory information, some ratings services will assign a response to a person that did not in fact give that response.<sup>8</sup> Such procedures raise issues that warrant examination by the Media Ratings Council, Congress, the Federal Communications Commission, and the Federal Trade Commission.

#### 2. The Need for Vigorous Government Oversight

Congress has long recognized that the success or failure of broadcast programming, and thus broadcast stations, rests on the ratings that they receive. In short, "[m]illions of dollars turn on the ratings level," because "program sponsors react to them."

The 1966 Congressional oversight report cited an FCC policy statement that sets forth the jurisdiction over broadcast ratings that the agency shares with the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). The FTC has primary jurisdiction over complaints dealing with questionable audience surveys. False or deceptive claims concerning audience size or characteristics are a violation of the unfair methods

<sup>6</sup> Id. at 16 - 28.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Interview with Bob Jordan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> [Arbitron] will go in there and say, "Okay, this person, this person and this person did not say that they listened to this urban station [in a telephone interview]. But we are going to assign a response to them that says that they did listen. Id. at 10.

<sup>&</sup>quot; House Comm. on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, at 2.

of competition provision of the Federal Trade Commission Act.<sup>10</sup> The misuse of audience surveys by broadcasters are taken into account by the Federal Communications Commission when determining whether a station is operating in the public interest.

The Congressional oversight committee also cited an FCC policy statement that states that it is the obligation of broadcasters to: 1) act responsibly in using survey reports; 2) take reasonable precautions to insure that surveys used in advertising campaigns are valid (e.g. that they are properly conceived, reasonably free of bias, and have adequate samples); and 3) refrain from quoting portions of surveys out of context so as to leave false and misleading impression of the relative ranking of stations in their respective markets.<sup>11</sup>

There have only been three FTC proceedings that involve the false and deceptive use of audience surveys. All three proceedings resulted in consent decrees issued against companies that provide audience measurement services.<sup>12</sup> In each instance the company agreed to cease and decease from, among other things, representing that its reports are based upon a true probability sample, and failing to disclose the statistical effect of non-responses to the survey instrument. The requirement to provide a detailed disclosure of the measurement methodologies was intended to have the effect of improving the reliability of audience measurement reports.<sup>13</sup>

In 1965, the FTC issued guidelines intended to assist broadcasters and others in avoiding violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act (see, Appendix J for full text).<sup>14</sup> In summary the guidelines state:

- 1. A firm making claims about the size or characteristics of an audience is responsible for making sure that the claim is truthful and not deceptive. If the claim is based upon a survey report, the firm making the claim assumes responsibility for interpreting the data accurately;
- 2. Unless the audience sample is based upon a true probability sample, the claims concerning audience coverage should disclose the fact that the figures are estimates only and not based upon any precise mathematical degree;

Section 5(a)(1) of the Federal Trade Commission Act states, "Unfair methods of competition in or affecting commerce, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce, are hereby declared unlawful." 15 U.S.C. § 45 (a)(1).

<sup>11</sup> HOUSE COMM. ON INTERSTATE AND FOREIGN COMMERCE, at 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> In the Matter of C-E-I-R, Inc. 61 F.T.C. 1468 (1962); In the Matter of A.C. Nielsen Company, 61 F.T.C. 1473 (1962); In the Matter of The Pulse, Inc., 61 F.T.C. 1479 (1962).

<sup>13</sup> House Comm. on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, at 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Federal Trade Commission Releases, July 8, 1965 and August 27, 1969.

3. No claim should be based upon survey procedures that the firm knows or has reason to know does not conform with accepted statistical principles, is reasonably free of avoidable bias and is based on a properly selected sample of adequate size.

The guidelines clearly charge broadcasters with the responsibility of ensuring that claims concerning their audience are not false or deceptive. Hence the need for an accreditation system that will enable firms to confidently rely upon the results of audience measurement reports. The industry led efforts to establish such a system followed the 1966 Congressional investigation. The Media Ratings Council is the product of that effort.

Based in New York, the Media Ratings Council is an industry-sponsored organization that seeks to promote audience measurement services that are valid, reliable and effective. Only services that have disclosed their methodologies to the Media Rating Council are subjected its review process which includes an extensive procedural audit. Fourteen ratings services were accredited by MRC in 1998. (See, this Appendix K for list of accredited services and those whose application was pending). Two services were listed as pending in 1998: Scarborough and Mediamark Research Inc. (MRI). As of the date of this report, Mediamark has received MRC accreditation. Scarborough's application remains pending, though it has reapplied to MRC for accreditation each year since 1995.

Due to the impact of ratings services on advertiser decisions, station performance, and ultimately, programming available to the public, it is essential that rules concerning the use of statistically valid ratings services be vigorously enforced. Parties, including broadcasters who have had substantial amounts of advertising diverted away from them as a result of advertisers that have been mistakenly lead to place reliance upon flawed survey reports, should encourage the MRC, FTC and FCC to investigate these issues and strongly enforce the rules.

When determining whether a station is operating in the public interest, the FCC should examine whether its licensees are using accredited audience measurement services. In order to promote compliance with statistically accepted standards developed by the industry, the FCC should require stations to show cause why they are not using an accredited service. Further, if the broadcaster is relying upon a non-accredited service, they should be required to disclose that fact in a prominent manner to advertisers, ad agencies or others who are the recipients of sales promotions.

The industry can also take action through the MRC. Broadcasters should adopt a voluntary code of conduct that obligates them to use audience research services accredited by MRC. The code

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Board members of the Council represent blue-chip radio and television broadcasters, cablecasters, print organizations, advertising agencies and industry trade associations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Albana Brunca, Media Ratings Council, Telephone Interview, December 17, 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Id.

# Page 6 of 6

should also require that the use of non-accredited services to be prominently disclosed to advertisers, ad agencies and others reviewing such data.

# Appendix J .....Survey Questionnaires

(This appendix contains the consolidated responses for the radio and television station surveys. Numbers in spaces for answers represent the percentage of survey respondents that provided a particular response. The absolute number of survey respondents that answered each question is also provided.)

# THE CIVIL RIGHTS PROJECT, INC.

# CIVIL RIGHTS TELECOMUNICATIONS FORUM

# ADVERTISING PRACTICES QUESTIONNAIRE

General managers and their sales staff are encouraged to collaborate to provide answers based upon the totality of their experiences at their present and other minority-owned stations. The following questions recognize that there is the possibility of multiple answers. In some instances, we ask that you rank the answers on a scale. A space for open comments is provided on the last page. If you have any questions, please call us at (202) 332-3301.

Please provi	de: Station Call Letters 34 FM 30 AM (check one)  Market Rank Format
News/Talk Foreign La	nguage - 0 Urban Adult Contemporary - 15 Tejano- 2 Jazz - 1 R&B Oldies - 1 Christian Contemporary - 0
Is you statio	n targeted to a racial ethnic minority? 61 Yes 3 No (check one) on located in an urban 55 or rural 9 market? (check one)
	PART I—"No Urban Dictates"
	nition: "No urban dictates" is the practice of intentionally not advertising on stations targeted to minority audiences.
	y of the advertising agencies or advertisers from which you have solicited business ban dictates" policy at any time? (Check only one)
yes_ <u>9</u>	1% no 9% 64 people responded
had a	f yes, approximately how many of these advertising agencies and advertisers have a "no urban dictate" policy? (Check only one)  2 a very small minority  3 some, but less than a majority  4 a small majority  5 a large majority  6 conle responded

Page 3	of
Radio	Questionnaire

5) ]	How freq	uently	y is a "no urb	an dictate'	' based upor	the fact that	a station i	s minority	owned;
sep	arate and	inde	pendent of ar	y other fac	ctor? (choo	se only one)		-	,

\_\_55%\_\_ rarely \_\_26%\_\_on occasion \_\_14%\_\_frequently \_\_5%\_\_very frequently

58 people responded

6) How frequently is a "no urban dictate" based upon the fact that the service or product is geared towards the general as opposed to the minority market, separate and independent of any other factor? (choose only one)

13% rarely
30% on occasion
33% frequently
23% very frequently

60 people responded

7) To what extent are "no urban dictates" based upon the reasons listed below? (rank each answer by circling a number; #1 denotes the highest level of importance)

-legitimate reasons based upon evidence that an ethnic-minority
market does not purchase the goods or services

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Average Response 6

-faulty evidence that there is no ethnic market demand
for the goods/services in question;

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Average Response 3

-the desire not to have ethnic minorities patronize
the goods/services;

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Average Response 5

(describe)

Average Response 9

8) If an advertiser or advertising agency is presented with research that contradicts their "no urban dictates" policy which of the following best describes the response? (rank each answer by circling a number; #1 denotes the highest level of importance)

-no response	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Average Response 3
-the research is acknowledged, but the agency	-
or advertiser still refuses to grant the account	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	Average Response 3
-the research is acknowledged, but there is a	
lapse of a long period before the account is granted	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
-	Average Response 4
-a recission of the policy and an account is	
acquired within a short period of time	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
•	Average Response 7
-other	_1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
(describe)	Average Response 4

#### PART II—Minority Discounts

Definition: "Minority discounts" is the practice of buying airtime on a minority-owned station at a rate that is discounted from the price that the station should receive based upon the station's market share. In some instances the same result is accomplished by making fewer buys on minority-owned stations compared to majority stations.

1) What percent of your sale transactions are discounted due to "minority discounts"?

\_\_61\_\_%

2) On average, what is the percentage amount that your spot sales discounted due to "minority discounts"?

\_\_59\_\_\_%

22% Generally yes 45% Generally no 33%

No experience to

to this question.

60 people responded

provide an answer

#### PART III-Impact on Revenue and Access to Capital

ART 111—1111pact on Revenue and Access to Capital
1) Based upon your professional knowledge and experience in the media and interaction with other broadcasters, to what is extent are "no urban dictates" and "minority discounts" a pervasive problem throughout the industry? (check only one)
8% negligible 25% minimal 44% pervasive
22% very pervasive
59 people responded
2) What percentage of your annual sales revenues do you lose to both "minority discounts" and "no urban dictates"?
63%
3) To the extent that "minority discounts" and "no urban dictates" are associated with formats targeted to minority audiences -
a) do such practices interfere with the ability to raise capital to acquire minority-formatted stations? (check only one)
_5%_not at all
18%_minimally
33%_moderately
_44%_very much so
55 people responded
b) do such practices detract from the market value of a minority-formatted station when it
is being sold? (check only one)
2%_not at all
25% minimally
29%_moderately
44% by a substantial amount
55 people responded

# PART IV—The Impact of Local Market Consolidation

For the purpose of this questionnaire, a local market consolidator is defined as owning four or more stations in your local market and controlling 30% or more of the local radio sales revenues. If there are no local market consolidators in your market that satisfy this definition, please do not complete this section.

1a) Are there any "local market consolidators" in your market? yes 93% no 7% (do not include your company if it meets the definition)
45 people responded
1b) If yes, how many3
1c) If yes, how many stations do these local market consolidators ("LMCs) own (indicate the number of stations owned)
LMC15 LMC24_ LMC34_
2a) Do minorities own any of the local market consolidators in your market? Yes 9% no 91% (include your company if it meets the definition)
44 people responded
2b) If yes, how many 1
2c) If yes, is your company a local market consolidator? Yes 44% No 56%
9 people responded
3a) Do any of the local market consolidators that are not minority owned program their stations to target the minority community? Yes 60% no 40% (not including your station)
42 people responded
3b) Does your station target its programming primarily to minorities? yes 97% no 3%
38 people responded

(describe)

Radio Questionnaire	
4) Please describe any competitive advantages that I in terms of their ability to solicit spot sales. (rank e	
highest level of importance)	
-none;	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	Average Response 8
-able to afford undercutting the price of	
your spot sales	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	Average Response 4
-able to offer advertisers a wider range	
demographics than your station.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
·	Average Response 2
-other	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	Average Response 6
(describe)	
5) What impact have local market consolidators had circling a number; #1 denotes the highest level of	
-no impact	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
-no mpact	Average Response 6
-taken sales away such that station revenue	-
have significantly declined	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	Average Response 5
-taken away audience share such that	
listenership has significantly declined	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
and the second s	Average Response 5
-other	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	Aueroge Personne 6

Page 9 of Radio Questionnaire
PART V—Open Comments
Have you encountered discriminatory advertising practices that go by a name different from "no urban discounts" or "minority discounts"? Yes 18 No 15. If so, please use this space to describe them
We welcome additional comments that you might have about advertising practices that adversely affect your station:
Name of the individual completing this questionnaire:
(print)
Title:
Signature:
Date: / /97

The Civil Rights Telecommunications Forum ATTN: Kofi A. Ofori 2040 S Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20009

Please use the return envelope or mail questionnaire to:

# CIVIL RIGHTS TELECOMMUNICATIONS FORUM ADVERTISING PRACTICES QUESTIONNAIRE

General managers and their sales staff are encouraged to collaborate to provide answers based upon the totality of their experiences at their present and previous places of employment. The questionnaire is divided into three sections: (salesforce, programming, and ownership). We hope that you will give each section your full attention. A space for open comments is provided on the last page. If you have any questions, please call us at (202) 887-0301.

Name (	Name of Station Owner								
Are the majority of corporate shares or partnership interests of your station owned by minorities?									
Call Lo	Call Letters:								
Arbitro	on Market Name		Ran	k: <u>1</u> 1					
VHF_	4 or U	HF7_	(check	one)					
Indepe	ndent0	or Affiliate	11	_ (chec	k one)		•		
						I, Home Shopping N Univision - 2			
Is a sig	nificant amount □Yes 2	of your progra	amming co	onducted	l in a language of	her than English?			
	If yes, please s	specifyH	lispanic -	2	(Languag	e).			
		1	PART I (!	Minorit	y Sales Staff)				
1.	station has had	any bearing up	on the dec	ision of		ount executive representations to make the contract of the con			
	☐ Yes	36%	□ No	64%	11 people respon	ded			

la. If yes, what percent of cases has the minority race or ethnic background of your sales representive had a <u>negative</u> bearing upon decision of an agency or advertiser to place an ad with your station. (check one)

50%	0% to 10%
50%	11% to 30%
0%	31% to 50%
0%	51% to 70%
0%	71% and above

4 people responded

1b. If yes, what percent of cases has the minority race or ethnic background of your sales representative had a positive bearing upon decision of an agency or advertiser to place an ad with your station. (check one)

75%	0% to 10%
0%	11% to 30%
0%	31% to 50%
0%	51% to 70%
25%	71% and above

4 people responded

2. Do you know of specific advertisers or advertising agencies that have not supported your station with advertising dollars because your station's salesperson was a minority? (please place a check in the box which accurately reflects your response)

☐ Yes 27% ☐ No 73% 11 people responded

2a. If yes, please indicate the percentage of instances during the course of a year that advertising support is either withheld or substantially discounted because of the minority status of your salespeople?

0% to 10%
11% to 30%
31% to 50%
51% to 70%
71% and above

3 people responded

3.	Has anyone on your station's sales force reported or discussed any encounters with advertisers or their advertising agency representatives which would lead you to believe that the minority race or ethnic background of the salesperson was a factor in not obtaining advertising support? (please place a check in the box which accurately reflects your response)
	☐ Yes 36% ☐ No 64% 11 people responded
4.	If you answered yes to question #3, at which level in the decision making process do you believe your station's potential to obtain advertising support from advertisers or their advertising agency representatives was impaired due to the minority status of the salesperson? (please place a checonext to the option which accurately reflects your response)
	mostly at the advertising agency levelmostly from advertisers0% evenly
	4 people responded
5.	Give an estimate of sales loss which can be attributed to advertiser or advertising agency perception of minority salespeople representing your station: (please place a check next to the option which accurately reflects your response)
	5 people responded
	PART II (Programming Targeted to Minorities)
1.	Does your station target more than half of its programming to minority viewers? (please place a check in the box which accurately reflects your response)
	☐ Yes 18% ☐ No 82% 11 people responded
2.	If your answer to question #1 is "no", is there another socio-economic audience segment toward which a majority of your programming is targeted?
	☐ Yes 11% ☐ No 89% 9 people responded
	If so, please describe:

Television Questionnaire

TCIC	vision Questionnaire							
7.	If you answered yes to question #5, at which level in the decision making process do you believe your station's potential to obtain advertising support from advertisers or their advertising agency representatives was impaired. (please place a check next to the option which accurately reflects your response)							
		33%	mostly at the ac	lvertisina :	gency level			
		33%	mostly from ad		igency level			
		33%	evenly					
	3 people r	responded						
8.	Give an estimate							
	advertising agency representatives perception of your station's programming as targeted to viewers described above:							
		0%	0% to 10%					
		50%	11% to 30%					
		0%	31% to 60%					
		50%	61% and abov	re				
	2 people r	responded						
		PAR	Г III (Ownershi	p & Con	trol of Stat	ion)		
1.	practices to with	old adve	rtising support to	your stat	ion, if they	esentatives engaged in perceive the station's look which accurately reflects your		
	□ Yes	36%		□ No	64%	11 people responded		
2.		nership a tation as a	nd control by mi	norities as	negative f	t view actors which prohibit them check in the box which accurately		
	☐ Yes	18%		□ No	82%	11 people responded		
3.	advertisers or the your station's ow	ir adverti nership a	sing agency reprind control by mi	esentative norities as	s which wo a negative	ny encounters with ould lead you to believe that a factor in obtaining curately reflects your response)		
	□ Yes	18%		□ No	82%	11 people responded		

4.	believe your station's pote	ential to obtain advertisi s impaired? (please place	in the decision making process do you ing support from advertisers or their advertising a check next to the option which
	0%	mostly at the advertis	ing agency level
		mostly from advertise	
	50%	evenly	
	2 people responded		
5.			utable to advertisers or their your station's ownership and control by
	50%	0% to 10%	•
	0%	11% to 30%	
	0%	31% to 60%	
	50%	61% and above	
	2 people responde	d	
6.			influence advertiser or advertising
0.	the highest level of impor	rtance)	k each answer by circling a number: #1 denotes  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10  Average Response 8
0.	the highest level of impor	y audience	
0.	the highest level of impor	ty sudience at described in Part I # 2.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Average Response 8 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Average Response 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
<b>o</b> .	the highest level of important programming targeted to minorit programming targeted to segment	ty sudience at described in Part I # 2.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Average Response 8 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Average Response 9
•	the highest level of important programming targeted to minorit programming targeted to segment	tance)  y audience  at described in Part I # 2.  women	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Average Response 8 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Average Response 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Average Response 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
•	the highest level of important programming targeted to minoritant programming targeted to segment station ownership and control by	tance)  y audience  at described in Part I # 2.  women	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Average Response 8 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Average Response 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Average Response 10

# Part IV (Open question)

Please (	describe any form of racial discrimination that your station may	y have	encountered	that has not	been add	ressed
above:	5 open comments					
		-				

Television Questionnaire	
Name of the individual completing this questionnaire:	
(print)	
Title:	
Signature:	•
Date: / /98	

Please use the return envelope or mail questionnaire to:

The Civil Rights Forum ATTN: Kofi A. Ofori 818 18th Street, N.W. Suite 810 Washington, D.C. 20006

Tel: (202) 887-0301

Appendix K .....Glossary,
BIA Explanation of Station Revenue Estimates, and
BIA Program Format Categories

#### Glossary

Ascription - A statistical technique that assigns diary credit based on the eligible stations' historical (previous available survey year) diary mentions in the county from which the diary was received. The diary mentions are transformed into probability ranges for the purpose of assigning credit. A random number is generated, and, within whichever station's range the number falls, that station receives credit.

Average Local Commercial Share (LCS) - calculated by dividing the station's Arbitron 12+ 6ammidnight share by the total commercial share for a ratings period. LCS is averaged over four ratings periods in the year (i.e., in 1993 BIA used Fall '92, Winter '93, Spring '93 and Summer '93 for radio). LCS is adjusted for "lost listening" (i.e. shares received by out-of-market stations or noncommercial stations) and totals 100% for the commercial stations in the market.

Average Quarter -Hour Persons (AQH Persons) - The average number of persons listening to a particular station for at least five minutes during a fifteen-minute period.

Average Quarter-Hour Rating (AQH Rating or AQH PUR) - The average Quarter-Hour Persons estimate expressed as a percentage of the population being measured.

Average Quarter-Hour Share (Share)- The Average Quarter-Hour Persons estimated for a given station expressed as a percentage of the Metro Total Average Quarter-Hour Persons estimates within a reported daypart.

Cost-Per-Thousand - The cost per 1,000 individuals (or homes) delivered by a medium or media schedule.

Cost-Per-Rating Point or Cost Per Point (CPP)- The cost of an advertisement (i.e. one 60 second spot) for reaching one percent of the listeners in the metro market.

Cume Persons - The total number of different persons who tune to a radio station during the course of a daypart for at least five minutes.

Cume Rating or Cume PUR - The Cume Persons audience expressed as a percentage of all persons estimated to be in the specified demographic group.

Demographic Groups - Classifications of populations according to sex, age, race, ethnicity income, etc.

Duopoly\* - A situation in which two stations on the same service (i.e., AM or FM) in the same market are licensed to a single entity.

Effective Reach - The number of individuals (or homes) reached by a media schedule at a given level

of frequency.

Frequency - The number of times individuals (or homes) are exposed to an advertising message.

General Market Format - for the purpose of this study are all program formats other than the Black, Spanish, Ethnic, and Urban categories as employed by BIA Research. A list of BIA Research format categories and subcategories is included in this appendix.

Gross Rating Points (GRPs) - The sum of ratings delivered by a given list of media vehicles.

Index - A percentage which relates numbers to a base.

Local Commercial Share (LCS) - is calculated by dividing the station's Arbitron 12+6am-midnight share by the total commercial share (reduced by the shares received by adjacent market stations and PBS stations). The LCS is averaged over four ratings periods in the year.

Media Mix - The use of two or more different media plans in one advertising plan.

Metro Survey Area (Metro) - The primary reporting area for local radio. Metro Survey Area definitions generally correspond to the federal government's Metropolitan Areas, subject to exceptions dictated by historical industry usage and other marketing considerations as determined by Arbitron.

Minority Discounts - industry jargon for the advertiser and/or ad agency practice of compensating stations that air minority-formatted programming at rates that are lower than what is paid to a general market station with a comparable number of listeners. In some instances, the "discount" involves discrepancies between the general market cost per point paid to minority-formatted and general market formatted stations.

Minority-Formatted Programming - programming formats intended to appeal to racial/ethnic minority listeners. For the purpose of this study they include the following four format categories employed by BIA Research: Black, Spanish, Ethnic, Urban. Within each of these categories there may be several format subcategories (e.g. Urban includes rhythm and blues, urban adult contemporary, and urban rap). A list of BIA Research format categories and subcategories is included in this appendix.

No Urban/Spanish dictates - industry jargon for the advertiser and/or ad agency practice of prohibiting the placement of advertising on stations that air program formats that are either urban of Spanish.

Power Ratio - is calculated by a two-step process. The estimated revenue share for the station is determined by dividing the station revenues by the market revenues times 100. This calculated

revenue share figure is divided by the local commercial share. A power ratio greater than 1 indicates the station is overselling its audience share while a ratio less than 1 indicates a station is underselling its audience share.

Rating - The estimated percentage of the demographic population listening to a given station to total radio during a specified time period.

Reach - The number of different individuals (or homes) exposed to a media schedule within a given period of time.

Reach Station - The total counties in which Arbitron has determined that a specific radio station should be eligible for a diary credit. This area includes counties within the station's signal penetration and may include additional counties if diarykeepers residing in those counties historically report listening to that station (typically due to commuting and travel patterns.)

Revenues - Gross station revenues are BIA estimates based on results of surveys of General Managers and Group Owners. These surveys generally yield a 20% response rate. Revenues for stations not responding to the surveys are based upon estimates of the top 10 station revenues for each metro market supplied by General Managers in those markets. In addition, BIA uses a model based upon the Arbitron 12+ share, station format and historical data to estimate revenues for stations not responding to the survey.

Respondents - Sample persons who provide information in response to survey questions.

Share - See "Average Quarter-Hour Share"

Superduopoly\* - A combination of three or more same-service (i.e., AM or FM) stations that are co-owned and serve the same Arbitron market.

Target Demographics - Demographic groupings of multiple discreet demographics, (e.g., Men 18-34, Women 18-49, Persons 25-54) as opposed to discreet demographics, (e.g., Men 18-24, Women 25-34).

\* Source: Definitions come from Radio Business Report 1997. Cannot be reprinted without permission from Radio Business Report, Inc.

#### Source

- 1. Arbitron Radio Description Methodology, Radio Market Reports, The Arbitron Company 1996
- 2. Interpreting BIA's Numbers in Media Access Pro, BIA Research Inc.

3. Radio Business Report, Radio Business Report, Inc., 1997



# Interpreting BIA's Numbers in MEDIA Access Pro

The average local commercial share (LCS) is calculated to adjust for "lost listening" (radio) or "loss viewing" (TV), which means shares received by out-of-market stations or non-commercial stations.

The LCS totals 100% for the commercial stations in the market. It is calculated by dividing the station's Arbitron 12+ 6am-midnight share by the total commercial share for a ratings period. For TV, the 9am-midnight share is divided by the total commercial share (reduced by the shares received by adjacent market stations and PBS stations). The LCS is averaged over four ratings periods in the year (ie, in 1993 we used Fall 92, Winter 93, Spring 93, and Summer 93 for radio and Feb, May, July and Nov 93 for television).

The power ratio shows if the station is overselling or underselling its audience share. It is calculated in a two-step process. The estimated revenue share for the station is determined by dividing the station revenues by the market revenues times 100. Then this calculated revenue share figure is divided by the local commercial share. A power ratio greater than I indicates the station is overselling its audience share; while a ratio less than I indicates a station underzelling its audience share.

Viable - True or False. A viable station is an PM receiving significant ratings/revenues which BIA feels is a serious competitor in the market.

Demographic information comes from Market Statistics, Inc. which publishes Demographics USA.

Market revenue figures are BIA estimates based on results of surveys to General Managers and Group Owners. We also use projected growth rates and other economic indicators to estimate these figures. Individual station revenues were estimated for the first time in 1994. These are also based on surveys.

Revenue share is the percent of market revenues for the station. This is calculated by multiplying the power ratio by LCS.

For further information regarding BIA calculations, please contact Donna Grigsby, Director of Research, at BIA, 703-818-2425.



REL

Chretn/Span

# RADIO FORMATS AND CODES

							M1-Mara
70e	70e Hits	REL	ChretnCntry	AC	MixAC	ROCK	Rock/Spen
70s	70s Oldies	CLAS	Clasic/Nive	ROCK	Modern Reck	VAR	Shopping
AAA	AAA	CLAS	Cinne/Jezz	NAC	NAC NEWASK CONTEMP		Smooth Jazz
AAA	AAANAC	MOR	Classic MOR	NAC	NACIUEZZ	'SAC	SOR AC
	AAA/Talk	CLAS	Classical	NAC	NAC/Soft AC	SAC	Soft Hills
AÇ	AC	HITS	Clec Hits	NAC	New Age	JAZZ	Soft Jezz
ÃČ	ACIAOR	ROCK	Clac RoldAC	ROCK	New Rock	AC	Soft Rock
AC	ACICHR	ROCK	Clac Rock	<b>NEWS</b>	News	SPAN	Spen/Crity
ÃĈ	AC/Country	CTRY	Cristy/Gospi	<b>NEWS</b>	Newstato	<b>BPAN</b>	Spen/Ethnic
ÃČ	ACMOR	CTRO	Cntry/Police	NEWS	Newsfracir	SPAN	SpanMOR
Ã	ACANONS	CITAL	Chb/Varity	NEWS	News/Jezz	SPAN	SpenNews
ÃĈ	ACANwelinfo	CMEY	Cornedy	NEW8	NOWEMOR	SPAN	Spen/Oldies
ÃC	AC/Nwe/Olds	CTRY	Country	NEWS	News/Nostel	ETHN	Span/Ports
		CTRY	Country/CHR	NEWS	News/Oldies	SPAN	Spen/Talk
AC	ACAME/Spis	CTRY	Country/Nive	NEWS	News/Sports	SPAN	SpenUrben
AC	ACANWATalk	CIRY	Country/Old	NEWS	News/Talk	SPAN	Spenish
AC	AC/Oldes			NOST	Noutaigie	SPRT	Sports
AC	AC/Sports	CTMY	Country/Rck	NOST	Netto Sprts	SPRT	Sports/Cnty
AC	AC/Talk	CTRY	Country/Tik	NEWS	NweAntUez	SPRT	Sporta/News
AC_	AC/TIK/Spts	DARK	DARK		NwwfrdMOR	SPRT	Sports/Olds
AOR	AOR	CHE	Dence	NEWS		SPRT	Sports/Talk
AOR	AOR/ClacRdk	VAF	Diverse	NEWS	Nwe/Spin/Tk Nwe/Talk/AC	TALK	Talk
AOR	AOR/Talk	EASY	Easy Courts	NEWS		TALK	Talk/AC
NOST	Adit Stndrd	EASY	EssyNordg	NEWS	Nwe/Tis/Cnty	TALK	TelkiCHR
CHR	Adult CHR	VAF	Edectic	NEWS	Nww/Tic/Gepl	TALK	Talk/Essy
on on	Adult Hits	EDUC	Education	NEWS	Nwe/Te/Nost	TALK	Talk/Ethnic
ROCK	Adult Rock	ETHN	Ethnic	NEWS	Nwe/Tt/Olds	TALK	TalkAnto
MOR	Adult Tred	ETHN	EthnicIAC	NEWS	Nwe/ToSpts		
ROCK	Alternative	ETHN	Ethnic/Telk	OLD	Oldies	TALK	Talk/Nostig Talk/Oides
ETHN	Acien	FOUK	Folk	OLD	OldesIAC	TALK	
OLD	Beach	FS	FullService	OLD	Olding/AOR	TALK	Talk/Sports
NOST	Big Bend	REL	Gospei	BLCK	Oldes Blok	SPAN	Tejano
NOST	Big Bend/AC	REL	GospelAC	OLD .	OldesICHR	TALK	Tx/8pts/Old
NOST	Big Bend/FS	REL	Gospel/nep	OLD	Oldiestrife	CHR	Top 40
NOST	BigBand/8pt	REL	Gospel/R&B	OLD	Oldina/Rock	CHR	Top 40/Gept
NOST	BigBand/Tik	REL	Gospel/Talk	œ	Cideo/Opto	NFO.	Trefficinfo -
ETHN	Blinguel	ETHN	Greek	OLD	Olden/Tink	NFO	Tanvel Info
BLCK	Black	ETHN	Havalan	OLD	Olds/Nor/Tk		Orb Chretn
ELCK	Black AC	HLTH	Health	ETHN	Polish :	URBN	الليو Hrb/Gospel
REL	Black Gosti	AC	Hot AC	YAR	Polite	URBN	Johan
BLCK.	Black Talk	NFO	info/Sports	ETHN	Portuguess		Orban AC+
CTRY	Blue Grace	NFO	Information	ROCK	Progressive		∨Orben Rap
EASY	Bill Music	REL	Inepiration	PUBL.	Public		<b>⊬Urben/Olds</b>
NEWS	Bus News	ETHIN	Internati	NEWS	Public Svc		✓ Urben/Rep
NEWS		ETION	Japanese	BLCK	R&B Oldes	URBN	y Orben/Telk
NEWS		JAZZ	Juzz	SPAN	Ranchera	VAR	Veriety
CHR	CHR	JAZZ	JezziAC	ROOK	Rok/Clal/Jz	VAR	Variety HR
	CHRIAC	JAZZ	Jazz/Gospel	REL	Reig Music	TALK	Variety/Tik
CHR		ETHN	Korean	REL	Relig/Ferm	NEWS	Weather
CHR	CHR/Dance	ETHN	Koreen/Spen	REL	Relicion		,
CHR	CHR/Rock		Lin AC	URBN	Rhythm/Blue	010	12 music = NOS-11
CHR	CHRAirben	SAC	Lin Rock	ROCK	Rock	DITT	L /INDAICE ITES
KIDS	Children Challen		MOR	ROCK	Rock & Roll		
REL	Christian	MOR	MORCOuntry	BOCK	Pook &C		

Rock/Oldies

Rock/Rap Rock/Rhythm

C	OMMITTEE AND CHAPTER EVENT FORM
Ad	me         Organization           dress         Suite/Room/Apt.           y/State/Zip         Organization
	one Fax E-mail
<b>CH</b>	Tuesday, June 15, 2004 CLE Seminar on CFIUS, Hogan & Hartson, 555 Thirteenth Street, Washington D.C., 6:00-8:15 p.m.  Cost: \$75.00 for Private Sector Members; \$50.00 for Government and Academic Members, and \$125.00 for Non-Members  Registrations and cancellations due by 5:00 p.m., Priday, June 11, 2004  Tuesday, June 22, 2004 CLE Seminar on - Migration Trends in Spectrum Use and Regulation, FCC 445 12th Street, S.W., Commission Meeting Room, 5:45 - 8:00 p.m.  Cost: \$75.00 for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members  Tought for Private Sector Members, and \$65.00 for Non-Members
	Total Enclosed
PA	YMENT MUST BE RECEIVED NO LATER THAN THE DAY OF THE EVENT. PHONE REGISTRATIONS WILL NOT BE

ACCEPTED.

PLEASE FAX THIS FORM TO: Wendy Parish, Federal Communications Bar Association, Fax: (202) 293-4317

CLE CREDIT: FCBA will be requesting accreditation from the State Bars of Virginia and California for continuing legal education credit. If approved, forms for Virginia and California will be available at the Seminar Registration Desk. Please note that FCBA does not obtain CLE credit for other states. The FCBA will, however, assist with applications to other states, but attorneys must apply individually for credit courses and submit any forms and payments necessary directly to the state bar. The number of credits corresponds with the number of hours of course time, i.e., a two-hour seminar equals 2.0 hours of credit.

#### **Federal Communications Bar Association**

1020 19th Street, N.W., Suite 325 Washington, D.C. 20036

Phone: (202) 293-4000 Fax: (202) 293-4317 E-mail: fcba@fcba.org